BOSTON UNIVERSITY

CS 561: **Data Systems Architectures**

Introduction to Indexing:

Trees, Tries, Hashing, Bitmap Indexes, Database Cracking

Prof. Manos Athanassoulis

https://bu-disc.github.io/CS561/

Recap: Key-Value Stores

how to organize keys/values?

depends on the workload!

<key, value>

put(key, value)
stores value and associates with key

get(key)
returns the associated value

delete(key)
deletes the value associated with the key

get_range (key_start,key_end)
get_set(key1, key2, …)



Recap: Key-Value Stores

inserts and point queries?



hash table



log

inserts, point queries, and range queries?

log-structured merge tree

LSM-Trees

A quick review of LSM-Trees and what is expected for the systems project


updates
buffer
memory
storage


updates
memory
storage
buffer
sort & flush
runs



sort & flush

T runs (e.g., 2)

sort-merge


memory
storage
buffer
exponentially increasing sizes
$O\left(log_T(N)\right)$ **levels**


lookup X
memory
storage
buffer
fence
pointers
one I/O per run
X


lookup X
memory
storage
buffer
fence
pointers
one I/O per run
X


lookup X
memory
storage
buffer
Bloom filters
fence pointers
true negative
false positive
true positive
one I/O per run
X

performance & cost trade-offs



more merging → fewer runs
read cost vs. update cost

bigger filters → fewer false positives
memory space vs. read cost

tuning *reads* vs. *updates*



merge policy



size ratio



log **LSM-Tree** sorted array

Merge Policies

Tiering
write-optimized

Leveling
read-optimized

Tiering
write-optimized



Leveling
read-optimized



Tiering
write-optimized



Leveling
read-optimized



Tiering
write-optimized



Leveling
read-optimized



Tiering
write-optimized



Leveling
read-optimized



Tiering
write-optimized



Leveling
read-optimized

lookup cost: $O\left(T \cdot log_T(N) \cdot e^{-M/N}\right)$

runs per level — levels — false positive rate

$O\left(log_T(N) \cdot e^{-M/N}\right)$

levels — false positive rate

Tiering
write-optimized



Leveling
read-optimized



lookup cost: $O\left(T \cdot log_T(N) \cdot e^{-M/N}\right)$

update cost: $\boldsymbol{O\left(log_T(N)\right)}$

levels

$O\left(log_T(N) \cdot e^{-M/N}\right)$

$\boldsymbol{O\left(T \cdot log_T(N)\right)}$

merges per level

levels

Tiering write-optimized	Leveling read-optimized
	
lookup cost: $O\left(T \cdot log_T(N) \cdot e^{-M/N}\right)$	$O\left(log_T(N) \cdot e^{-M/N}\right)$
update cost: $O\left(log_T(N)\right)$	$O\left(T \cdot log_T(N)\right)$

for size ratio T ⌄⌄

Tiering
write-optimized



Leveling
read-optimized

lookup cost: $O\left(log_T(N) \cdot e^{-M/N}\right) = O\left(log_T(N) \cdot e^{-M/N}\right)$

update cost: $O\left(log_T(N)\right) = O\left(log_T(N)\right)$

for size ratio T ⌄⌄

Tiering
write-optimized



Leveling
read-optimized



lookup cost: $O\left(T \cdot log_T(N) \cdot e^{-M/N}\right)$ $O\left(log_T(N) \cdot e^{-M/N}\right)$

update cost: $O\left(log_T(N)\right)$ $O\left(T \cdot log_T(N)\right)$

for size ratio T ⌃⌃

Tiering
write-optimized

$O(N)$ runs per level

log

Leveling
read-optimized

1 run per level

sorted array

lookup cost: $O\left(N \cdot e^{-M/N}\right)$ $O\left(e^{-M/N}\right)$

update cost: $O\left(log_N(N)\right) = \boldsymbol{O(1)}$ $O\left(N \cdot log_N(N)\right) = \boldsymbol{O(N)}$

for size ratio T **N** ≪



log **LSM-Tree** sorted array

performance & cost trade-offs



what is a Bloom filter?

Details on Bloom filters

Inserting into a Bloom filter



Inserting into a Bloom filter



Probing a Bloom filter (true negative)



BOSTON UNIVERSITY

Probing a Bloom filter (false positive)



what is the probability of a false positive?

Bloom filter false positive

for each inserted key
for each hash function
a bit is **set** with probability $\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)$



Bloom filter false positive

for each inserted key
for each hash function
a bit is **not set** with probability $\left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)$



Bloom filter false positive

for each inserted key
after ***k*** hash functions

a bit is **not set** with probability $\left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)^k$



Bloom filter false positive

after ***n*** inserted keys
after ***k*** hash functions

a bit is still **not set** with probability $\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)^{k\cdot n}$



Bloom filter false positive

after ***n*** inserted keys
after ***k*** hash functions

a bit will **be set** with probability $1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)^{k \cdot n}$



Bloom filter false positive

after ***n*** inserted keys
after ***k*** hash functions

a bit will **be set** with probability $1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)^{k \cdot n}$

the probability that <u>all ***k*** bits</u> are found set by inserting previous keys is given by

$$\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)^{k \cdot n}\right)^{k}$$



Bloom filter false positive

after ***n*** inserted keys
after ***k*** hash functions

a bit will **be set** with probability $1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)^{k \cdot n}$

the probability that <u>all ***k*** bits</u> are found set by inserting previous keys is given by

$$\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)^{k \cdot n}\right)^{k} \approx \left(1 - e^{-k \cdot n/m}\right)^{k}$$



Bloom filter false positive (derivation details)

let's focus on the term: $\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)^n$

assuming $\alpha = \frac{m}{n}$, and for large m, n:

$\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)^n = \left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha \cdot n}\right)^n = \left(1+\frac{-1/\alpha}{n}\right)^n \approx e^{-1/\alpha} = e^{-n/m}$, because $\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right)^n = e^x$

hence, the probability that <u>all ***k*** bits</u> are found set by inserting previous keys is given by

$$\left(1-\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)^{n\cdot k}\right)^k = \left(1-\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)^n\right)^k\right)^k = \left(1-\left(e^{-n/m}\right)^k\right)^k = \left(1-\left(e^{-k\cdot n/m}\right)\right)^k$$

Bloom filter false positive

$$\text{false positive } p = \left(1 - e^{-k \cdot n/m}\right)^k$$

how to minimize?

it can be shown (not easy):
the optimal number of hash functions k, that minimize the false positive is:

$$k = \frac{m}{n} \cdot ln(2)$$

Rule of thumb: k is a number, often between 2 and 10.

Bloom filter false positive

Combining $p = \left(1 - e^{-k \cdot n/m}\right)^k$ and $k = \frac{m}{n} \cdot ln(2)$

we get: $e^{-\frac{m}{n} \cdot \left(ln(2)\right)^2}$

details:

$$p = \left(1 - e^{-\frac{m}{n} \cdot ln(2) \cdot \frac{n}{m}}\right)^{\frac{m}{n} \cdot ln(2)} = \left(1 - e^{-ln(2)}\right)^{\frac{m}{n} \cdot ln(2)} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{m}{n} \cdot ln(2)} = \left({}^1/_2\right)^{\frac{m}{n} \cdot ln(2)}$$

using twice that ${}^1/_2 = e^{-ln(2)}$, $p = \left(e^{-ln(2)}\right)^{\frac{m}{n} \cdot ln(2)} = e^{-\frac{m}{n} \cdot ln(2) \cdot ln(2)} = e^{-\frac{m}{n} \cdot \left(ln(2)\right)^2}$

BOSTON UNIVERSITY

key-value stores vs. indexes

What is an index?

Auxiliary structure to quickly find rows based on arbitrary attribute

Special form of <key, value>

What are the possible ***index designs***?

	Data Organization	**Comments**
B+ Trees	Sorted & partitioned	Partition ***k-ways*** recursively
LSM Trees	Log-structured & Sorted	Optimizes ***insertion***
Radix Trees	Radix	Partition using the ***key radix*** representation
Hash Indexes	Hash	Partition by ***hashing the key***
Bitmap Indexes	None	Succinctly represent ***all rows with a key***
Scan Accelerators	None	Metadata to ***skip accesses***

What are the possible ***index designs***?

B+ Trees

LSM Trees

Radix Trees

Hash Indexes

Bitmap Indexes

Scan Accelerators

B+ Trees

Search begins at root, and key comparisons direct it to a leaf.

Search for 5*, 15*, all data entries >= 24* ...



Based on the search for 15, we know it is not in the tree!*

Example B+ Tree - Inserting 8*



Example B+ Tree - Inserting 8*



Example B+ Tree - Inserting 8*



Example B+ Tree - Inserting 8*





Example B+ Tree - Inserting 8*



Example B+ Tree - Inserting 21*



Example B+ Tree - Inserting 21*





Example B+ Tree - Inserting 21*





Example B+ Tree - Inserting 21*



what about growing dataset size?

Read Cost: $log_B(N)$

Update Cost

$log_B(N)$ reads

1 update (worst case $log_B(N)$)



what about skewed data?

how many I/Os per insert?

What are the possible ***index designs***?

	Point Queries	Short Range Queries	Long Range Queries	Data Skew	Updates
B+ Trees	✅	✅	✅	✅	〰️
LSM Trees					
Radix Trees					
Hash Indexes					
Bitmap Indexes					
Scan Accelerators					

LSM-trees

Is LSM-tree an index?

LSM-trees

Is LSM-tree an index?



LSM-trees


lookup X
memory
storage
buffer
Bloom filters
fence pointers
true negative
false positive
true positive
one I/O per run
X

What are the possible ***index designs***?

	Point Queries	Short Range Queries	Long Range Queries	Data Skew	Updates
B+ Trees	✅	✅	✅	✅	🌥️
LSM Trees	✅	❌	🌥️	✅	✅
Radix Trees					
Hash Indexes					
Bitmap Indexes					
Scan Accelerators					

Radix Trees (special case of tries and prefix B-Trees)

Idea: use common prefixes for internal nodes to reduce size/height!

what about integer keys?

Maximum tree height?
the size of the
longest word!





Maximum tree height?

8, that is, $log_2(\max\_domain\_value)$
fixed worst case!

what about data skew?

What are the possible ***index designs***?

	Point Queries	Short Range Queries	Long Range Queries	Data Skew	Updates
B+ Trees	✅	✅	✅	✅	🟠
LSM Trees	✅	❌	🟠	✅	✅
Radix Trees	✅	✅	✅	❌	🟠
Hash Indexes					
Bitmap Indexes					
Scan Accelerators					

Hash Indexes (static hashing)

#primary bucket pages fixed, allocated sequentially, never de-allocated; overflow pages if needed

h(k) mod M = bucket to insert data entry with key *k* (M: #buckets)



what if I have skew in the data set (or a bad hash function)?

Hash Indexes (static hashing)

#primary bucket pages fixed, allocated sequentially, never de-allocated; overflow pages if needed

h(k) mod M = bucket to insert data entry with key *k* (M: #buckets)



what about range queries?

what if I have skew in the data set (or a bad hash function)?

What are the possible ***index designs***?

	Point Queries	Short Range Queries	Long Range Queries	Data Skew	Updates
B+ Trees	✅	✅	✅	✅	🟠
LSM Trees	✅	❌	🟠	✅	✅
Radix Trees	✅	✅	✅	❌	🟠
Hash Indexes	✅	🟠	❌	❌	✅
Bitmap Indexes					
Scan Accelerators					

Bitmap Indexes



Speed & Size

- Compact representation of query result
- Query result is readily available

Bitvectors

- Can leverage fast Boolean operators
- Bitwise AND/OR/NOT faster than looping over meta data

Bitmap Indexes



Index Size

Space-inefficient for domains with large cardinality

Addressed by bitvector encoding/compression

core idea: *run-length encoding* in prior work

what about updates?


raw bitvector
Update?
decode
flip bit
encode
13 zeros
ending pattern
encoded bitvector
re-encode
10 zeros
ending pattern

What are the possible ***index designs***?

	Point Queries	Short Range Queries	Long Range Queries	Data Skew	Updates
B+ Trees	✅	✅	✅	✅	🟠
LSM Trees	✅	❌	🟠	✅	✅
Radix Trees	✅	✅	✅	❌	🟠
Hash Indexes	✅	🟠	❌	❌	✅
Bitmap Indexes	✅	🟠	🟠	🟠	❌
Scan Accelerators					

Scan Accelerators

Zonemaps

Search for 25

Z1: [32,72]	Z2: [13,45]	Z3: [1,10]	Z4: [21,100]	Z5: [28,35]	Z6: [5,12]

Scan Accelerators

Zonemaps

Search for 25

Search for [5,11]

Scan Accelerators

Zonemaps

Search for 25

Search for [5,11]

Search for [31,46]

Scan Accelerators

Zonemaps

Search for 25

Search for [5,11]

Search for [31,46]

Scan Accelerators

Zonemaps

Search for 25

Search for [5,11]

Search for [31,46]

if data were sorted:

Search for 25

Search for [5,11]

Search for [31,46]

Scan Accelerators

Zonemaps

Z1: [32,72]	Z2: [13,45]	Z3: [1,10]	Z4: [21,100]	Z5: [28,35]	Z6: [5,12]

Search for 25

Search for [5,11]

Search for [31,46]

if data were sorted:

Z1: [1,15]	Z2: [16,30]	Z3: [31,50]	Z4: [50,67]	Z5: [68,85]	Z6: [85,100]

Search for 25

Search for [5,11]

Search for [31,46]

what if data is perfectly uniformly distributed?

Z1: [1,99]	Z2: [2,95]	Z3: [1,100]	Z4: [2,100]	Z5: [3,97]	Z6: [2,99]

What are the possible ***index designs***?

	Point Queries	Short Range Queries	Long Range Queries	Data Skew	Updates	Affected by Physical Order
B+ Trees	✅	✅	✅	✅	~	—
LSM Trees	✅	❌	~	✅	✅	—
Radix Trees	✅	✅	✅	❌	~	—
Hash Indexes	✅	~	❌	❌	✅	—
Bitmap Indexes	✅	~	~	~	❌	***no***
Scan Accelerators	❌	~	✅	✅	~	***yes***

Adaptive Data Organization: Database Cracking

idea: there is an ***ideal*** data organization

what is it (for a collection of keys – e.g., a column)?

sorted!

we can reach it ***eventually*** if we use the ***workload as a hint***

Adaptive Data Organization: Database Cracking



Adaptive Data Organization: Database Cracking



Adaptive Data Organization: Database Cracking



Adaptive Data Organization: Database Cracking



what about updates/inserts?

Project Implementation

What to plan for the implementation (1/3)

Durable Database (open/close without losing state)

Components:

- Memory buffer (array, hashtable, B+ tree)
- Files (sorted levels/tiers)
- Fence pointers (**Zonemaps**)
- **Bloom filters**

What to plan for the implementation (2/3)

Durable Database (open/close without losing state)

Components:

- Memory buffer (search, read, write, unpin)
- Priority data structure
- Eviction policy

What to plan for the implementation (3/3)

API + basic testing and benchmarking available at:

LSM Implementation:
https://github.com/BU-DiSC/cs561_templatedb
with a Reference Bloom filter implementation

Bufferpool Implementation:
https://github.com/BU-DiSC/cs561_templatebufferpool

BOSTON UNIVERSITY

CS 561: Data Systems Architectures

Introduction to Indexing:

Trees, Tries, Hashing, Bitmap Indexes, Database Cracking

Prof. Manos Athanassoulis

https://bu-disc.github.io/CS561/