CS460: Intro to Database Systems

Class 4: *The Relational Model*

Instructor: Manos Athanassoulis

[https://bu-disc.github.io/CS460/](https://bu-disc.github.io/CS460/)
The Entity-Relationship Model

Basic ER modeling concepts

Constraints

Complex relationships

Conceptual Design

Readings: Chapter 2.5

From Previous Class
Review: Entity vs. Attribute

Works_In2 does not allow an employee to work in a department for two or more periods

why?

Approach: Similar to the problem of wanting to record several addresses for an employee: we want to record several values of the descriptive attributes for each instance of this relationship
Review: Entity vs. Relationship

OK as long as a manager gets a separate discretionary budget (dbudget) for each department

What if manager’s dbudget covers all managed departments? (can repeat value, but such redundancy is problematic)
Context: Overall Database Design Process

**Last time**
- Requirements Analysis
  - user needs; what must database do?
- Conceptual Design
  - high level description (often done w/ER model)

**Today:**
- Logical Design
  - translate ER into DBMS data model
- Schema Refinement
  - consistency, normalization
- Physical Design
  - indexes, disk layout
- Security Design
  - who accesses what
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Why the Relational Model?

most widely used model

*IBM, Microsoft, Oracle, etc.*

"Legacy systems" in older models
e.g., IBM’s IMS

object-relational model incorporates oo concepts

*IBM DB2, Oracle 11i*

more recently: key-value store
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relational</th>
<th>Key/Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tables with rows and columns</td>
<td>collections of documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>well-defined schema</td>
<td>schema-less (each document can have different schema)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>data model fits data rather than functionality</td>
<td>data stored in an application-friendly way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deduplication</td>
<td>possible duplication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

based on a table from http://readwrite.com
Relational Database: Definitions

 relational database: a collection (set) of relations

 each relation: made up of 2 parts

 schema: name of relation, name & type of each column
 Students(sid: string, name: string, login: string, age: integer, gpa: real)

 instance: a table, with rows and columns.

   #rows = cardinality
   #fields = degree / arity

 can think of a relation as a set of rows or tuples
   (1) all rows are distinct
   (2) no order among rows
Instance of Students Relation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sid</th>
<th>name</th>
<th>login</th>
<th>age</th>
<th>gpa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53666</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>jones@cs</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53688</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>smith@cs</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53650</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>smith@math</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cardinality = 3, arity = 5, all rows distinct

do all values in each column of a relation instance have to be distinct?
SQL - A language for Relational DBs

SQL* (a.k.a. “Sequel”), standard language

Data Definition Language (DDL)
create, modify, delete relations
specify constraints
administer users, security, etc.

Data Manipulation Language (DML)
specify *queries* to find tuples that satisfy criteria
add, modify, remove tuples

* Structured Query Language
SQL Overview

CREATE TABLE <name> ( <field> <domain>, ... )

INSERT INTO <name> (<field names>)
    VALUES (<field values>)

DELETE FROM <name>
    WHERE <condition>

UPDATE <name>
    SET <field name> = <value>
    WHERE <condition>

SELECT <fields>
    FROM <name>
    WHERE <condition>
Creating Relations in SQL

type (domain) of each field is specified

also enforced whenever tuples are added or modified

CREATE TABLE Students
(sid CHAR(20),
name CHAR(20),
login CHAR(10),
age INTEGER,
gpa FLOAT)
Table Creation (continued)

Enrolled: holds information about courses students take

CREATE TABLE Enrolled
  (sid CHAR(20),
   cid CHAR(20),
   grade CHAR(2))
Adding and Deleting Tuples

Can insert a single tuple using:

```
INSERT INTO Students (sid, name, login, age, gpa)
VALUES (‘53688’, ‘Smith’, ‘smith@cs’, 18, 3.2)
```

Can delete all tuples satisfying some condition (e.g., name = Smith):

```
DELETE
  FROM Students S
WHERE S.name = ‘Smith’
```

Powerful variants of these commands are available; more later!
The Relational Model

Intro & SQL overview

Keys & Integrity Constraints

ER to Relational

ISA to Relational
Keys

keys: associate tuples in different relations

keys are one form of integrity constraint (IC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sid</td>
<td>name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53666</td>
<td>Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53666</td>
<td>Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53650</td>
<td>Smith</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOREIGN Key

PRIMARLY Key
Primary Keys

A set of fields is a **superkey** if:
No two distinct tuples can have same values in all key fields

Is <sid> a superkey?
What about <sid,name>?
What about <sid,name,age>?
What about <age,name>?
Primary Keys

A set of fields is a superkey if:
No two distinct tuples can have same values in all key fields

A set of fields is a key for a relation if:
It is a superkey
No subset of the fields is a superkey

Is <sid> a key? <sid,name>? <sid,name,age>? <age,name>?
Primary Keys

A set of fields is a **superkey** if:
No two distinct tuples can have same values in all key fields

A set of fields is a **key** for a relation if:
It is a superkey
No subset of the fields is a superkey

what if >1 key for a relation?
chose one as the **primary key** / rest called **candidate** keys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sid</th>
<th>name</th>
<th>login</th>
<th>age</th>
<th>gpa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53666</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>jones@cs</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53688</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>smith@cs</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53650</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>smith@math</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Primary and Candidate Keys in SQL

possibly many *candidate keys* (specified using UNIQUE),
one of which is chosen as the *primary key*

keys must be defined carefully!

“for a given student and course, there is a single grade”

```sql
CREATE TABLE Enrolled
(sid CHAR(20),
cid CHAR(20),
grade CHAR(2),
PRIMARY KEY (sid,cid))
```

```sql
CREATE TABLE Enrolled
(sid CHAR(20),
cid CHAR(20),
grade CHAR(2),
PRIMARY KEY (sid),
UNIQUE (cid, grade))
```
Foreign Keys, Referential Integrity

**foreign key**: set of fields in one relation that is used to “refer” to a tuple in another

...correspond to the primary key of the other relation a “logical pointer”

If all foreign key constraints are enforced, **referential integrity** is achieved (i.e., no dangling references)
Foreign Keys in SQL

Example: Only students listed in the Students relation should be allowed to enroll for courses.

*sid* is a foreign key referring to *Students*

CREATE TABLE Enrolled
(sid CHAR(20), cid CHAR(20), grade CHAR(2),
PRIMARY KEY (sid, cid),
FOREIGN KEY (sid) REFERENCES Students )

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sid</td>
<td>cid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53666</td>
<td>15-101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53666</td>
<td>18-203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53650</td>
<td>15-112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53666</td>
<td>15-105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enforcing Referential Integrity

Students and Enrolled; *sid* in Enrolled is a FK references Students

What to do if a tuple with a non-existent sid is inserted in Enrolled?

What should be done if a Students tuple is deleted?
Enforcing Referential Integrity

Students and Enrolled; \textit{sid} in Enrolled is a FK references Students

What to do if a tuple with a non-existent \textit{sid} is inserted in Enrolled?

What should be done if a Students tuple is deleted?

Also delete all Enrolled tuples that refer to it?
Disallow deletion of a Students tuple that is referred to?
Set \textit{sid} in Enrolled tuples that refer to it to a \textit{default sid}?
(In SQL we can set \textit{sid} to be equal to \textit{null}, denoting “unknown” or “inapplicable”)

Similar issues arise if primary key of Students tuple is updated
Integrity Constraints (ICs)

IC: must be true for any instance of the database  
(e.g., domain constraints)  
ICs are specified when schema is defined  
ICs are checked when relations are modified  

a legal instance of a relation satisfies all specified ICs  
DBMS should not allow illegal instances  

if the DBMS checks ICs, stored data is more faithful to real-world meaning  
avoids data entry errors, too!
Where do ICs Come From?

ICs are based upon the *real-world semantics*

we can check a database instance to see if an IC is violated, but we cannot infer that an IC hold

An IC is a statement about *all possible* instances!

From example, we know `name` is not a key, but the assertion that `sid` is a key is given

key and foreign key ICs are the most common

(more general ICs supported too)
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Logical DB Design: ER to Relational

Entity sets to tables

CREATE TABLE Employees
(ssn CHAR(11),
 name CHAR(20),
 lot INTEGER,
 PRIMARY KEY (ssn))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ssn</th>
<th>name</th>
<th>lot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>123-22-3666</td>
<td>Attishoo</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231-31-5368</td>
<td>Smiley</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131-24-3650</td>
<td>Smethurst</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Relationship Sets to Tables

Our favorite example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ssn</th>
<th>name</th>
<th>lot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>123-22-3666</td>
<td>Attishoo</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231-31-5368</td>
<td>Smiley</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131-24-3650</td>
<td>Smethurst</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ssn</th>
<th>did</th>
<th>since</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>123-22-3666</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1/1/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-22-3666</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3/3/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231-31-5368</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2/2/92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Relationship Sets to Tables

In translating a many-to-many relationship set to a relation, attributes of the relation must include:

- Keys for each participating entity set (as foreign keys). This set of attributes forms a superkey for the relation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ssn</th>
<th>did</th>
<th>since</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>123-22-3666</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1/1/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-22-3666</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3/3/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231-31-5368</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2/2/92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CREATE TABLE Manages(
    ssn    CHAR(11),
    did    INTEGER,
    since  DATE,
    PRIMARY KEY (ssn, did),
    FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees,
    FOREIGN KEY (did) REFERENCES Departments)
Review: Key Constraints in ER

Each dept has at most one manager, according to the **key constraint** on Manages.
Review: Key Constraints in ER

1-to-1

1-to-Many

Many-to-1

Many-to-Many
since each department has a unique manager, we could instead combine `Manages` and `Departments`

**CREATE TABLE** `Manages`
- `ssn` CHAR(11),
- `did` INTEGER,
- `since` DATE,
- `PRIMARY KEY` (did),
- `FOREIGN KEY` (ssn) REFERENCES `Employees`,
- `FOREIGN KEY` (did) REFERENCES `Departments`

**CREATE TABLE** `Dept_Mgr`
- `did` INTEGER,
- `dname` CHAR(20),
- `budget` REAL,
- `ssn` CHAR(11),
- `since` DATE,
- `PRIMARY KEY` (did),
- `FOREIGN KEY` (ssn) REFERENCES `Employees`
What if the toy department has no manager (yet)?

CREATE TABLE Dept_Mgr(
    did INTEGER,  
dname CHAR(20),  
budget REAL,  
ssn CHAR(11),  
since DATE,  
PRIMARY KEY (did),  
FOREIGN KEY (ssn)  
REFERENCES Employees)
Review: Participation Constraints

does every employee work in a department?
If so, this is a participation constraint: the participation of Departments in Manages is said to be total (vs. partial)

Every did value in Departments table must appear in a row of the Manages table (with a non-null ssn value!)
Participation Constraints (PC) in SQL

PCs of one entity set in a binary relationship, yes!
but little else (without resorting to \texttt{CHECK} constraints)

\begin{verbatim}
CREATE TABLE Dept_Mgr(
    did INTEGER,
    dname CHAR(20),
    budget REAL,
    ssn CHAR(11) NOT NULL,
    since DATE,
    PRIMARY KEY (did),
    FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees,
    ON DELETE NO ACTION)
\end{verbatim}
A **weak entity** can be identified uniquely by the primary key of another (*owner*) entity (+ some of its attributes)

- Owner entity set and weak entity set must participate in a one-to-many relationship set (one owner, many weak entities)
- Weak entity set must have total participation in this *identifying* relationship set

**Diagram:**

```
Employees (ssn, name, lot) --> Policy (cost, pname) --> Dependents (age)
```
Translating Weak Entity Sets

Weak entity set and identifying relationship set are translated into a single table.

When the owner entity is deleted, all owned weak entities must also be deleted.

```sql
CREATE TABLE Dep_Policy (  
    pname CHAR(20),  
    age INTEGER,  
    cost REAL,  
    ssn CHAR(11) NOT NULL,  
    PRIMARY KEY (pname, ssn),  
    FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees,  
    ON DELETE CASCADE)
```
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Review: ISA Hierarchies

As in C++, or other PLs, attributes are inherited.

If we declare A **ISA** B, every A entity is also considered to be a B entity.

*Overlap constraints*: Can Joe be an Hourly_Emps as well as a Contract_Emps entity? *(Allowed/disallowed)*

*Covering constraints*: Does every Employees entity also have to be an Hourly_Emps or a Contract_Emps entity? *(Yes/no)*
Translating ISA Hierarchies to Relations

CREATE TABLE Employees (  
    ssn CHAR(11) NOT NULL,  
    name CHAR(20),  
    lot INTEGER,  
    PRIMARY KEY (ssn))

CREATE TABLE Hourly_Emps (  
    ssn CHAR(11) NOT NULL,  
    hourly_wages REAL,  
    hours_worked REAL,  
    PRIMARY KEY (ssn),  
    FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees)

CREATE TABLE Contract_Emps (  
    ssn CHAR(11) NOT NULL,  
    contractid INTEGER,  
    PRIMARY KEY (ssn),  
    FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees)

what should happen if I delete an entry from Employees?

?  

can we use ON DELETE CASCADE?

?  

how to access name and hours worked?

Join!
Alternative approach for ISA Hierarchies

CREATE TABLE Hourly_Emps (ssn CHAR(11) NOT NULL, name CHAR(20), lot INTEGER, hourly_wages REAL, hours worked REAL, PRIMARY KEY (ssn))

CREATE TABLE Contract_Emps (ssn CHAR(11) NOT NULL, name CHAR(20), lot INTEGER, contractid INTEGER, PRIMARY KEY (ssn))

how to ensure that every employee is only in one of the two?

what about Employees that are neither?

what about querying for all employees?

Query 2 tables!
Relational Model: Summary

tabular representation of data
simple & intuitive, currently the most widely used

*Integrity Constraints* can be specified based on app semantics &
DBMS checks for violations

two important ICs: primary and foreign keys
in addition, we *always* have domain constraints

ER to Relational is (fairly) straightforward