Transactions

Exercise 1 (16.3). Consider a database with objects $X$ and $Y$ and assume that there are two transactions $T_1$ and $T_2$. Transaction $T_1$ reads object $X$, and then writes objects $Y$ and $X$. Transaction $T_2$ reads object $X$, then reads object $X$ once more, and finally writes objects $X$ and $Y$ (i.e. $T_1$: $R(X), W(Y), W(X)$; $T_2$: $R(X), R(X), W(X), W(Y)$)

1. Give an example schedule with actions of transactions $T_1$ and $T_2$ on objects $X$ and $Y$ that results in a write-read conflict.
2. Give an example schedule with actions of transactions $T_1$ and $T_2$ on objects $X$ and $Y$ that results in a read-write conflict.
3. Give an example schedule with actions of transactions $T_1$ and $T_2$ on objects $X$ and $Y$ that results in a write-write conflict.
4. For each of the three schedules, show that Strict 2PL disallows the schedule.

Solution

Answer 16.3 The answer to each question is given below.

1. The following schedule results in a write-read conflict: 
   $T_2$: $R(X), T_2$: $R(Y), T_2$: $W(X), T_1$: $R(X)$ ...
   $T_1$: $R(X)$ is a dirty read here.

2. The following schedule results in a read-write conflict: 
   $T_2$: $R(X), T_2$: $R(Y), T_1$: $R(X), T_1$: $R(Y), T_1$: $W(X)$ ...
   Now, $T_2$ will get an unrepeatable read on $X$.

3. The following schedule results in a write-write conflict: 
   $T_2$: $R(X), T_2$: $R(Y), T_1$: $R(X), T_1$: $R(Y), T_1$: $W(X), T_2$: $W(X)$ ...
   Now, $T_2$ has overwritten uncommitted data.

4. Strict 2PL resolves these conflicts as follows:

   (a) In S2PL, $T_1$ could not get a shared lock on $X$ because $T_2$ would be holding an exclusive lock on $X$. Thus, $T_1$ would have to wait until $T_2$ was finished.

   (b) Here $T_1$ could not get an exclusive lock on $X$ because $T_2$ would already be holding a shared or exclusive lock on $X$.

   (c) Same as above.