

Relational Algebra Exercises for Tutorial

Solve all queries below using only select, project, Cartesian product, and natural join. Do not use theta-join, set operations, renaming or assignment.

First Schema

Suppliers(sID, sName, address)

Parts(pID, pName, colour)

Catalog(sID, pID, price)

Catalog[sID] \subseteq Suppliers[sID]

Catalog[pID] \subseteq Parts[pID]

Notice:

- In this schema, everywhere we want values to match across relations, the attributes have matching names. And everywhere the attributes have matching names, we want values to match across relations.
- This means that natural join will do exactly what we want in all cases.

Questions:

1. If sID is a key for the Suppliers relation, could it be a key for the Catalog relation?

Answer: Just because it is a key in one relation doesn't mean it is in another; being a key is relative to the relation. But *is* it a key for Catalog? No. We almost surely want to be able to list multiple parts by one supplier in our catalog.

2. Find the names of all red parts.

Answer:

$$\Pi_{pName}(\sigma_{colour="red"} Parts)$$

3. Find all prices for parts that are red or green. (A part may have different prices from different manufacturers.)

Answer:

$$\Pi_{price}((\sigma_{colour="red"} \vee \sigma_{colour="green"} Parts) \bowtie Catalog)$$

4. Find the sIDs of all suppliers who supply a part that is red or green.

Answer:

$$\Pi_{sID}((\sigma_{colour="red"} \vee \sigma_{colour="green"} Parts) \bowtie Catalog)$$

5. Find the sIDs of all suppliers who supply a part that is red *and* green.

Answer: Trick question. Each tuple has only one colour, and each part has only one tuple (since pID is a key), so no part can be recorded as both red and green.

6. Find the names of all suppliers who supply a part that is red or green.

Answer:

$$\Pi_{sName}((\Pi_{sID}((\sigma_{colour="red"} \vee \sigma_{colour="green"} Parts) \bowtie Catalog)) \bowtie Suppliers)$$

Second Schema

Employees(number, name, age, salary)

Supervises(boss, employee)

Supervises[boss] \subseteq Employees[number]

Supervises[employee] \subseteq Employees[number]

Notice:

- In this schema, wherever we want values to match across relations, the attributes **do not** have matching names. This means that natural join will not force things to match up as we'd like.
- In fact, since there are no attribute names in common across the two relations, natural join is no different from Cartesian product.
- We are forced to use selection to enforce the necessary matching.

Questions:

1. What does it say about our domain that employee is a key for Supervises?

Answer: Every employee has one boss.

2. Does the schema allow for an employee with no boss?

Answer: Yes.

3. How would the world have to be different if boss were a key for Supervises?

Answer: It would mean that every boss could have at most one employee. Not very sensible!

4. How would the world have to be different if both boss and employee together were a key for Supervises?

Answer: This would imply that neither alone is a key, since keys are minimal. Thus, bosses could have multiple employees (sensible) and employees could have multiple bosses (possibly sensible).

Third Schema

This schema is for a salon. Services could be things like “haircut” or “manicure”.

Clients(CID, name, phone)

Staff(SID, name)

Appointments(CID, date, time, service, SID)

Appointments[CID] \subseteq Clients[CID]

Appointments[SID] \subseteq Staff[SID]

Notice:

- In this schema, everywhere we want values to match across relations, the attributes have matching names. But there are also attributes with matching names whose values we do not want to match across relations.
- In those cases, that natural join will get rid of many tuples that we need, so we must use Cartesian product and make any necessary matching happen using select. (Unless we can remove the problem attributes first.)

Questions:

1. Find the appointment time and client name of all appointments for staff member Giuliano on Feb14. (Assume that you can compare a date value to “Feb 14” using “=”). At each step, use projection to pare down to only the attributes you need.

Answer:

$$\Pi_{name,time}((\Pi_{CID,SID,time}\sigma_{date="Feb14"} Appointments) \bowtie (\Pi_{SID}\sigma_{name="Giuliano"} Staff) \bowtie Clients)$$